

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/735,385	10/21/96	KIM		С	205.7	
Г		HM42/1016 7		EXAMINER		
MARK L BOSSE		•	•	WEDDINGTÓN,K		
GILEAD SCIENCES INC 353 LAKESIDE DRIVE				ART UN	IIT PAPER NUMBER	
FOSTER CITY CA 94404				1614		

DATE MAILED: 10/16/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/735,385

Applicant(s)

Kim

Examiner

Kevin E. Weddington

Group Art Unit 1614

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 30, 1998			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fin accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 10-53, and 55	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
⊠ Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 10-53, and 55	is/are rejected.		
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority up	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)			
received in this national stage application from the Ir			
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 0.5.C. ¥ 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
<ul><li>☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li><li>☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Not</li></ul>	s).		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	<u> </u>		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	,		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	IE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 08/735,385

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1, 3-5, 10-53 and 55 are presented for examination.

Applicant's information disclosure statements filed February 17, 1998 and March 23, 1998; and amendment filed July 30, 1998 have been received and entered. Accordingly, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as set forth in the previous Office action at pages 2 and 3 is herein withdrawn.

The allowance of claims 1, 3-5, 28, 32, 33, 40, 41, 48, 49 and 55 is remove so that a new rejection can be made.

## Double Patenting

Claims 1, 3-5, 10-53 and 55 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over all the claims of copending Application

No. 08/702,308. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The copending application, 08/702,308, discloses formula IX in its specification.

Application/Control Number: 08/735,385

Art Unit: 1614

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-5, 10-53 and 55 are not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner K. Weddington whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

1

Art Unit: 1614

KEVIN E. WEDDINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

And bent 1614

K. Weddington

October 14, 1998